

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

£ 0

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/083,312	02/25/2002	David Kammer	PALM-3741.US.P	5496
		590 12/14/2005		EXAMINER	
	WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP			TRAN, TUAN A	
	Third Floor Two North Mai	rket Street		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	San Jose, CA	95113		2682	

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_					
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/083,312	KAMMER ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Tuan A. Tran	2682			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 Sec</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar	action is non-final.	secution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accertion accertion and accertion and accertion and accertion are described as a specific and accertion are described as a specific accertion as a specific accertion as a specific accertion as a specific accertion as a specific acceptance and acceptance are described as a specific acceptance are described as a specific acceptance and acceptance are described as a specific acceptance and acceptance are described as a specific acceptanc	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) D Notice 3) D Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2682

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors

Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology

Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S.

patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before

November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

 Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Phillipps (WO 02/09362).

Regarding claims 1 and 19, Phillipps discloses a system and method of establishing a Bluetooth wireless connection between handheld computers (See fig. 2) comprising: a) storing a plurality of Bluetooth device identifications corresponding to a plurality of handheld computer systems on a memory resident list of a specific handheld computer system (See page 3, lines 30-34); b)

Art Unit: 2682

accessing the device identifications on the specific handheld computer system (See page 3, lines 30-34); c) and establishing a Bluetooth connection between the specific handheld computer system and the plurality of handheld computer systems, wherein the establishing bypasses a Bluetooth discovery process (See page 4, lines 1-2).

Claim 13 is rejected for the same reasons as set forth in claims 1 and 19, as apparatus.

Regarding claims 2 and 20. Phillipps discloses as cited in claims 1 and 19. Phillipps further discloses at least one of the plurality of Bluetooth device identifications is automatically determined in communications between the specific handheld computer system and members of the plurality of handheld computer systems prior to step c) (See page 3, lines 27-28).

Claim 14 is rejected for the same reasons as set forth in claims 2 and 20, as apparatus.

Regarding claims 3 and 21, Phillipps discloses as cited in claims 1 and 19.

Phillipps further discloses at least one of the plurality of Bluetooth device identifications is entered by a user of the specific handheld computer system (See page 3 line 34 to page 4 line 1).

Claim 15 is rejected for the same reasons as set forth in claim 3 and 21, as apparatus.

Regarding claims 4 and 22, Phillipps discloses as cited in claims 1 and 20.

Phillipps further discloses step b) further comprises: b1) accessing the plurality of device identifications; b2) displaying representations of the plurality of device

Art Unit: 2682

identifications on a display of the specific handheld computer system; b3) and including at least one handheld computer system corresponding to one of the plurality of Bluetooth device identifications in the Bluetooth wireless connection (See page 3 line 30 to page 4 line 2).

Claim 16 is rejected for the same reasons as set forth in claims 4 and 22, as apparatus.

Regarding claims 5 and 23. Phillipps discloses as cited in claims 4 and 22. Phillipps further discloses one of the representations of the plurality of device identifications is a Bluetooth friendly name (known Bluetooth device type) (See page 4 lines 23-34).

Claim 17 is rejected for the same reasons as set forth in claims 5 and 23, as apparatus.

Regarding claims 6 and 24, Phillipps discloses as cited in claims 1 and 20. Phillipps further discloses step d) responsive to a failure of step c), beginning the Bluetooth discovery process (See page 5 lines 3-10).

Claim 18 is rejected for the same reasons as set forth in claims 6 and 24, as apparatus.

Regarding claim 7, Phillipps discloses a method of rejecting a Bluetooth communication request (See fig. 4) comprising: a) receiving a Bluetooth communication request at a handheld computer, wherein the communication request comprises a device identification; b) automatically accessing on the handheld computer a memory resident list of trusted device identifications; c) and rejecting a Bluetooth communications request if the device identification is not a

Application/Control Number: 10/083,312 Page 5

Art Unit: 2682

member of the list of trusted device identification (See fig. 4 and col. 3 line 30 to col. 4 line 11).

Regarding claim 8, Phillipps discloses as cited in claim 7, Phillipps further discloses displaying a representation of the device identification on a display of the handheld computer (See page 3 lines 30-33).

Regarding claim 9, Phillipps discloses as cited in claim 8. Phillipps further discloses the representation of the device is a Bluetooth friendly name (known Bluetooth device type) (See col. 4 lines 23-24).

Regarding claims 10-11, Phillipps discloses as cited in claim 7. In Bluetooth communication protocol, the communication request inherently comprises a page message or an inquiry message.

Regarding claim 12, Phillipps discloses as cited in claim 7, Phillipps further discloses an entry in the list of trusted device identifications is automatically determined in a communication between the handheld computer and another Bluetooth enabled device (See page 3 lines 27-28).

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Jonsson (6,463,276); Burr (2003/0045272); Pinder et al.
 (6,701,160); Cannon et al. (2002/0090912).

Response to Arguments

Art Unit: 2682

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim1-24 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan A. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-7858. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 10:00AM-6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doris To can be reached on (571) 272-7629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tuan Tran

DORIS H. TO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600